

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,911	09/10/2003	Fred H. Burbank	R0367.01601	4075
7590 11/03/2005			EXAMINER	
Edward J. Lynch			PELHAM, JOSEPH MOORE	
DUANE MOR	RIS LLP	•		
One Market			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Spear Tower, Ste. 2000			3742	
San Francisco,	CA 94105		DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20051024			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/12/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
Application Papers					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-73</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-73</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
Disposition of Claims					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 M</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This					
Status					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Period for Reply	/ 10 OFT TO EVOIDE & MONTH	(O) OD TUBETY (OO) DAYO			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Joseph M. Pelham ears on the cover sheet with the	3742 correspondence address			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Office Action Summer	10/658,911	BURBANK ET AL.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			

Art Unit: 3742

The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's submission of the amendment and Terminal Disclaimer referring to US Pat. 6,347,241 filed 8/17/05. This Terminal Disclaimer is approved. Claims 42-73 remain pending.

However, the Examiner reiterates: the terminal disclaimer filed on 3/3/05 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of *U.S. Pat. No. 6,725,083* has been reviewed and is *NOT* accepted.

It is directed to a particular claim or claims, which is not acceptable, since "the disclaimer must be of a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted." See MPEP § 1490. That is, *claim numbers should not be specified*.

The Examiner notes further that additional double patenting rejections should have been made in the previous Office action, which rejections are made below. Any inconvenience is sincerely regretted.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 42 and 43 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 44 of copending Application No. 10/684,124, or claims 31-33 of copending Application No. 10/719,448, or claims 45-47 of copending Application No. 10/823,053. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims only either broaden the scope of the copending claims, or specify delivery means which are conventional.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph M Pelham whose telephone number is 571-272-4786. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/24/05

JOSEPH PELHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER